

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,689	12/23/1999		SELMER CONRAD BRINGSJORD	YO999-507	7822
21254	7590	12/16/2004		EXAM	INER
MCGINN &		PLLC OUSE ROAD		HOLMES, MICHAEL B	
SUITE 200	OUKIN	JUSE KUAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, V	A 22182	2-3817	2121		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/471,689	BRINGSJORD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael B. Holmes	2121					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeply within the statutory minimum of thirty by will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	November 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	· ·						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-25 and 32</u> is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) <u>1, 3-25 and 32</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-31</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>26-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	plication No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr							
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/471,689

Art Unit: 2121



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspto.gov

Examiner's Detailed Office Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 1. Upon further review, the allowance of claims 26-31 is withdrawn. An action on the merits appears below.
- 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 3. Applicant's invention disclosed in claims 26-31 is directed to nonstatutory subject matter. Claims 26-28 are considered to be an *abstract idea*. It is the examiner's position applicant's invention as claimed is not limited to a *practical application* in the technological arts. While, the claims *appear* to be directed towards a method performed on a computer. However, examination has revealed no computer or computer-readable medium has been disclosed by applicant.
- 4. This deficiency can lead to speculation that applicant's invention may be implemented on paper or by some other means not associated with a computing device. Examiner will not speculate as to the intended meaning, and will leave that to applicant to further clarify, since

Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

applicant discloses no "certain substances" that have been "transformed or reduced" that is, applicant claims disclose no *specific* computer or computer-readable medium.

- 5. Furthermore, there is no manipulation of *specific* data representing physical objects or activities constituting what one may classify as pre-computer activity, nor does applicant disclose any *specific* independent physical acts being performed by the invention constituting post-computer activity. As aforementioned, it is the examiner's position the claims as presented are nonstatutory, and merely manipulate *abstract ideas* in general without limitation to a practical application whereby "certain substances" are transformed or reduced on a computer or a computer-readable medium.
- 6. As for claims 30 and 31, theses claims are considered to be nonstatutory. Applicant's claim to a signal bearing medium is considered to be broad enough to include a transient carrier wave which is not fixed in a tangible medium readable by a machine. For this reason, claims 30 and 31 are rejected.
- 7. Therefore, claims 26-31 are rejected under 35 USC § 101.
- 8. It should be noted that if claims 26-29 were amended to recite a "computer" or "computer implemented" method and apparatus the rejection under 35 USC § 101 would be withdrawn.

Conclusion-

9. Office personnel are to give claims their "broadest reasonable interpretation" in light of the supporting disclosure. *In re Morris*, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. *In re Prater*, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551(CCPA



Application/Control Number: 09/471,689

Art Unit: 2121

1969). See *also In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 321-22, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322(Fed. Cir. 1989)

("During patent examination the pending claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms

reasonably allow. . . . The reason is simply that during patent prosecution when claims can be

amended, ambiguities should be recognized, scope and breadth of language explored, and clari-

fication imposed. . . . An essential purpose of patent examination is to fashion claims that are

precise, clear, correct, and unambiguous. Only in this way can uncertainties of claim scope be

removed, as much as possible, during the administrative process."). see MPEP § 2106

Correspondence Information

10. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael B. Holmes, who may be reached Monday through

Friday, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST. or via telephone at (571) 272-3686 or facsimile

transmission (571) 273-3686 or email Michael.holmesb@uspto.gov.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the Examiner's Supervisor,

Anthony Knight, may be reached at (571) 272-3687.

Anthony Knight

upervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600

Michael B. Holmes

Patent Examiner Artificial Intelligence

Art Unit 2121

United States Department of Commerce

Patent & Trademark Office

December 10, 2004

MBH